	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	09/885,679	PERA, MARTIN FREDERICK
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph T. Woitach	1632
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.3	IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in 35) or other appropriate commu RIGHTS. This application is so	this application. If not included nication will be mailed in due course. THIS
. X This communication is responsive to 4/3/2006.		
. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>50-56 and 68-79</u> .		
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:		or (f).
✓ 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents ha		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	documents have been received	I in this national stage application from the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* Certified copies not received:		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATI noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDOI THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. . A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be suit	NMENT of this application.	
INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which g	ives reason(s) why the oath or	declaration is deficient.
. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") n	nust be submitted.	
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsport	_	(PTO-948) attached
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date	•	
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examine Paper No./Mail Date	er's Amendment / Comment or	in the Office action of
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFF each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such i		
. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the de attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMEN	posit of BIOLOGICAL MATE IT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIO	RIAL must be submitted. Note the LOGICAL MATERIAL.
		•
ttachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	B) 6. ☐ Interview Su Paper No./N	mmary (PTO-413), Mail Date
☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/St	3/08), 7. ☐ Examiner's /	Amendment/Comment
Paper No./Mail Date 1. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	t 8. ⊠ Examiner's \$	Statement of Reasons for Allowance
	0. \square Other	
•	9. 🗌 Other	.

Art Unit: 1632

DETAILED ACTION

This application filed June 20, 2001 claims benefit to foreign applications PR1327, filed November 8, 2000, and PQ8242, filed June 20, 2000, both in Australia.

Applicants' amendment filed April 3, 2006, has been received and entered. Claims 1-49, 57-67, 80-83 have been canceled. Claims 50-56 and 68-79 are pending.

Election/Restriction

Applicant's election with traverse of group I, and the election of species of noggin in Paper No. 11 was acknowledged. During prosecution the election of species was withdrawn.

Claims 50-56 and 68-73 are pending and currently under examination as they are drawn to methods of culturing ES cells with a BMP antagonist.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 80-83 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thomson (US Patent 5,843,780) or Carpenter *et al.* (Pub. No. US2002/0019046 A1) is withdrawn.

The cancellation of the claims has rendered the rejection moot.

Reasons for Allowance

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The present disclosure is the first to propose the use of antagonists of the BMP pathway, and reduce to practice methods that demonstrate that the use of such agonists result in a more directed lineage differentiation of an embryonic stem cell. Methods setting forth the generation of neural stem cells are clearly enabled as evidenced by the working examples, and the methods encompassing a less differentiated progenitor cell are considered enabled because of evidence of record, and the requirement that the differentiation pathway would require the production of intermediate cell types during the process of practicing the methods as claimed. Further, with regard to the breadth of agonist, Levesque *et al.* US Patent 6,949,380 B1 (issued Sept 27, 2005) provides further evidence that BMP agonists were known in the art and could be used with different cell types to differentiate cells, in particular into neuronal cell lineages.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Woitach whose telephone number is (571) 272-0739.

Art Unit: 1632

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla, can be reached at (571) 272-0735.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group analyst Dianiece Jacobs whose telephone number is (571) 272-0532.

Joseph T. Woitach

JOSEPH WOITACH, PH.D.
PRIBABRY FYARGERER